1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	NOEL PHILLIPE SCOTT,	
11	Petitioner, No. CIV S-09-2830 JAM GGH P	
12	VS.	
13	JOHN W. HAVILAND, Warden,	
14	Respondent. <u>ORDER</u>	
15	/	
16	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this application for a writ	
17	of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States	
18	Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
19	On July 21, 2010, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein	
20	which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to	
21	the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Respondent has filed	
22	objections to the findings and recommendations.	
23	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule	
24	304, this court has conducted a $\underline{de novo}$ review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the	
25	entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by	
26	proper analysis.	
	1	
		J

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed July 21, 2010, are adopted in full; and
3	2. Respondent's motion to dismiss the petition as untimely, filed on December
4	30, 2009 (docket #17), is denied;
5	3. Respondent is ordered to file an answer to the petition within sixty days of the
6	filed date of this order; thereafter, petitioner will have twenty-eight days to file a reply.
7	DATED: September 21, 2010
8	
9	/s/ John A. Mendez
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20 21	
21	
22	
23	
25	
26	
-	2

I

I