(HC) Fowlie	v. Haviland
1	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	BRIAN FOWLIE,
11	Petitioner, No. CIV S-09-2857 GGH P
12	VS.
13	JOHN W. HAVILAND, et al.,
14	Respondents. <u>ORDER</u>
15	
16	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a petition for a writ of
17	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, together with an application to proceed in forma
18	pauperis.
19	Examination of the in forma pauperis application reveals that petitioner is unable
20	to afford the costs of suit. Accordingly, the application to proceed in forma pauperis will be
21	granted. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a).
22	Petitioner challenges a 2008 parole suitability hearing where he was found
23	unsuitable for parole. Petitioner also states that he was found suitable for parole at his September
24	2009 suitability hearing. Because petitioner has been found suitable for parole, it is unclear what
25	relief the court could order regarding the 2008 suitability hearing.
26	
	1

Doc. 4

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. Petitioner's application to proceed in forma pauperis is granted; 2. Within twenty-one (21) days from the date of this order, petitioner shall file a short declaration addressing what relief he seeks regarding the 2008 suitability hearing; petitioner shall also address why this action should not be dismissed as moot based on the finding of parole suitability at the 2009 hearing. DATED: December 8, 2009 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE fow2857.100