

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RONALD WRIGHT,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-09-2863 GEB DAD PS

vs.

HILLCREST MOBILE HOME
PARK, et al.,

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Defendants.

_____ /

By an order filed October 14, 2009, plaintiff was granted twenty days to provide the court with an address at which mail can be sent directly to him or, in the alternative, to show good cause for not providing such an address. Plaintiff was cautioned that failure to file a timely notice of change of address or declaration of good cause will result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed without prejudice. The twenty-day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed a notice of change of address, a declaration of good cause, or any other response to the court's order.

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice for failure to comply with a court order and failure to prosecute this action. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); Local Rule 11-110.

////

1 These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States
2 District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within
3 twenty days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file
4 written objections with the court. A document containing objections should be titled “Objections
5 to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file
6 objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. See
7 Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

8 DATED: November 13, 2009.

9
10 
11 _____
12 DALE A. DROZD
13 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

12 DAD:kw
13 Ddad1\orders.pro se\wright2863.fsc
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26