н

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	ROBERT LAUCELLA,
11	Petitioner, No. 2:09-cv-2871 FCD JFM (HC)
12	VS.
13	JOHN W. HAVILAND,
14	Respondent. <u>FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
15	/
16	A recent court order was served on petitioner's address of record and returned by
17	the postal service. It appears that petitioner has failed to comply with Local Rule 183(b), which
18	requires that a party appearing in propria persona inform the court of any address change. More
19	than sixty-three days have passed since the court order was returned by the postal service and
20	petitioner has failed to notify the Court of a current address.
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed
22	without prejudice for failure to prosecute. See Local Rule 183(b).
23	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
24	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen
25	days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written
26	objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
	1

Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and served
within fourteen days after service of the objections. Plaintiff is advised that failure to file
objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order.
<u>Martinez v. Ylst</u>, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

5 DATED: June 9, 2011.

2 7 M UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

/kly014;lauc2871.133a