1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	JOHN KENT,	
11	Plaintiffs, N	lo. 2:09-cv-02905 FCD KJN PS
12	v. <u>C</u>	DRDER
13	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS;	
14	CONTRACTORS' STATE LICENSE BOARD; STUART RIND; RICK	
15		
16		
17	KLEIMAN; THOMAS EBLING; SUE PAYNE; ANDREA SOUZA; ANITA	
18		
19	CSLB EMPLOYEES 1-15,	
20	Defendants.	
21	/	
22	Plaintiff is proceeding without counsel and in forma pauperis. The undersigned	
23	previously screened plaintiffs' Ninth Amended Complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e) and	
24	prepared findings and recommendations, which recommended that: (1) two defendants, the	
25	California Department of Consumer Affairs and the Contractors State Licensing Board, be	
26	dismissed from this action with prejudice; (2) all of plaintiff's claims alleged against the	
		1

remaining individual defendants be dismissed with prejudice to the extent that plaintiff has 1 2 alleged such claims against the individual defendants in their official capacities; and 3 (3) plaintiff's tenth and eleventh claims for relief brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 1985 and 4 1986, respectively, be dismissed with prejudice as to all defendants. (Dkt. No. 17.) The 5 undersigned concluded, however, that service of the Ninth Amended Complaint, as narrowed, would be appropriate on certain defendants once the United States District Judge assigned to this 6 7 matter acted on proposed findings and recommendations. (Id.) 8 In an order entered July 20, 2010, United States District Judge Frank C. Damrell, 9 Jr., adopted the undersigned's proposed findings and recommendations in full. (Dkt. No. 20.) 10 Following Judge Damrell's adoption of the proposed findings and recommendations, the 11 undersigned will order service of the Ninth Amended Complaint, as modified. 12 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 13 1. Service of plaintiff's Ninth Amended Complaint, as modified, is appropriate as to the following defendants: Thomas Ebling, Kevin Kerr, Jim Kleiman, Rick 14 15 Lopez, Jacquelyn Maes, Patricia Nelson, Sue Payne, Stuart Rind, Diana Roach, Anita Sisneros, 16 Andrea Souza, Sue Stirewalt, Stephen Takimoto, Carl Vega, Rick Villucci, and Leslie Yoakum. 17 2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to issue forthwith all process pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4. 18 19 3. The Clerk of Court shall send plaintiff sixteen USM-285 forms, one 20 summons, an endorsed copy of plaintiff's Ninth Amended Complaint (Dkt. No. 14), this court's 21 scheduling order, and the forms providing notice of the magistrate judge's availability to exercise jurisdiction for all purposes. 22 23 4. Plaintiff is advised that to effectuate service, the U.S. Marshal will require: One completed summons; 24 a. 25 b. One completed USM-285 form for each defendant to be served; 26 ////

2

c. A copy of the Ninth Amended Complaint for each defendant to be
 served, with an extra copy for the U.S. Marshal; and

3 d. A copy of this court's scheduling order and related documents for
4 each defendant to be served; and

5 5. Plaintiff shall supply the United States Marshal, within 30 days from the 6 date this order is filed, all information needed by the Marshal to effectuate service of process, and 7 *shall, within 10 days thereafter, file a statement with the court that such documents have been* 8 *submitted to the United States Marshal.*

6. The U.S. Marshal shall serve process, with copies of this court's
scheduling order and related documents, within 90 days of receipt of the required information
from plaintiff, without prepayment of costs. *The United States Marshal shall, within 10 days thereafter, file a statement with the court that such documents have been served.* If the U.S.
Marshal is unable, for any reason, to effect service of process on any defendant, the Marshal shall
promptly report that fact, and the reasons for it, to the undersigned.

15 7. The Clerk of Court shall serve a copy of this order on the United States
16 Marshal, 501 "I" Street, Sacramento, CA 95814 (tel. 916-930-2030).

17 8. Plaintiff's failure to comply with this order may result in a
18 recommendation that this action be dismissed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

20 DATED: July 21, 2010

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE