

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LA TRICE ELISE HOLLEY,

Plaintiff,

No. 2:09-cv-2909 FCD JFM PS

vs.

KPMG LLP, etc., et al.,

Defendants.

FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

_____ /

By an order filed November 12, 2009, this court ordered plaintiff to complete and return to the court, within thirty days, the USM-285 forms which are required to effect service on the defendants. On December 23, 2009, plaintiff was granted an additional thirty days in which to provide the documents. That thirty day period has since passed, and plaintiff has not responded in any way to the court's order.

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned

1 “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Any response to the
2 objections shall be filed and served within fourteen days after service of the objections. The
3 parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to
4 appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

5 DATED: February 1, 2010.

6
7 
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

9 001; holley.fusm
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26