

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NATHAN HALL,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-09-2932 EFB P

vs.

KATHLEEN L. WILKINSON, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER AND  
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

\_\_\_\_\_ /

On November 5, 2009, the court found that plaintiff’s application to proceed *in forma pauperis* failed to include the required certified copy of his trust account statement. Accordingly, the court ordered plaintiff to submit the required trust account statement within thirty days and warned him that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed.

The 30-day period has expired and plaintiff has not filed the required trust account statement nor otherwise responded to the court’s order.<sup>1</sup>

////

\_\_\_\_\_

<sup>1</sup> Although it appears from the file that plaintiff’s copy of the order was twice served upon him and returned marked “undeliverable” and “refused,” plaintiff was properly served. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.

