\\\\\ 26

Doc. 47

Within 21 days both parties shall file simultaneous briefing regarding Gonzalez and its application to the instant case. The parties should also specifically address if the Cronic¹ claim raised by petitioner in the motion for an evidentiary hearing is sufficiently distinct and new from the ineffective assistance of counsel claim in the petition, that the claim must go back to state court to be exhausted. The undersigned notes that while respondent filed briefing regarding Pinholster, the briefing did not address any of the facts or specific issues of the instant case. With respect to this exhaustion briefing, respondent should address the facts of the instant case and the issues raised by petitioner. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: January 4, 2012 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE ggh:ab smit2967.ord3

¹ United States v. Cronic, 466 U.S. 648 (2002).