1 LAWRANCE A. BOHM, (SBN 208716) BOHM LAW GROUP									
4600 Northgate Blvd Suite 210 Sacramento, CA 95834 Telephone: (916) 927-5574 Facsimile: (916) 927-2046									
					ERIKA M. GASPAR, (SBN 238117) LAW OFFICE OF ERIKA M. GASPAR 2121 Natomas Crossing Drive, Suite 200-399 Sacramento, CA, 95834				
Taesinine (710) 047-0333									
Attorneys for Plaintiff ANI CHOPOURIAN									
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT									
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA									
3 ANI CHOPOURIAN,									
4 Plaintiff,	Case No. 2:09-CV-02972-KJM-KJN								
5 STIPULATION A EXTENDING TO	AND ORDER HE DEADLINES OF								
6 CATHOLIC HEALTHCARE WEST, THE STATUS OPETIS									
MERCY GENERAL HOSPITAL, AND DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, STATUS (PRETRIAL SCHEDUL ORDER									
8 Defendants.									
9									
1									
Plaintiff ANI CHOPOLIRIAN by and through her attorneys o	of record I awrance A								
3									
	Bohm and Erika M. Gaspar and Defendant, CATHOLIC HEALTHCARE WEST, by and through								
their attorney of record Judith Clark Martin stipulate to modify this Court's Status (Pretrial									
Scheduling) Order (Doc #20) of February 25, 2010 as modified by the Court on February 16,									
2011 (Doc #27).									
18									
Chopourian v. Catholic Healthcare West, et al.	Lawrance A. Bohm, Esq. Erika M. Gaspar, Esq.								
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 2 1 2 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8	BOHM LAW GROUP 4600 Northgate Blvd Suite 210 Sacramento, CA 95834 Telephone: (916) 927-5574 Facsimile: (916) 927-5574 Facsimile: (916) 927-5574 Facsimile: (916) 927-5574 Facsimile: (916) 927-8046 ERIKA M. GASPAR, (SBN 238117) LAW OFFICE OF ERIKA M. GASPAR 2121 Natomas Crossing Drive, Suite 200-399 Sacramento, CA 95834 Telephone (916) 749-0278 Facsimile (916) 647-0535 Attorneys for Plaintiff ANI CHOPOURIAN UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA ANI CHOPOURIAN, Plaintiff, v. CATHOLIC HEALTHCARE WEST, MERCY GENERAL HOSPITAL, AND DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, Defendants. Case No. 2:09-CV STIPULATION EXTENDING TI THE STATUS (PRETIONER) ORDER Plaintiff, ANI CHOPOURIAN, by and through her attorneys of Bohm and Erika M. Gaspar and Defendant, CATHOLIC HEALTHCA their attorney of record Judith Clark Martin stipulate to modify this Co- Scheduling) Order (Doc #20) of February 25, 2010 as modified by the								

1. Good cause exists in that despite diligent efforts, the parties have been unable to
reschedule deposition of witnesses because of the trial schedules of attorneys for the parties.
Attorney for Defendants Judith Clark Martin was unavailable for depositions in February as she
was in trial in San Joaquin County Superior Court. Plaintiff's attorneys, Lawrance A. Bohm and
Erika M. Gaspar were assigned out to trial in Sacramento County Superior Court on March 15,
2011 on a case which was anticipated to continue into April. The case settled on March 28, 2011
Attorney for Defendants Judith Clark Martin is unavailable for depositions in April. Further, Mr.
Bohm and Ms. Martin are both in trial on another matter in Butte County Superior Court from
May 9 -19, 2011.

- 2. Good cause exists because Plaintiff still needs to take depositions of Defendants' witnesses which were properly noticed and scheduled for February 2, 3, 4, 8, 9 and 10, and which Plaintiff has been unable to reschedule due to the scheduling conflicts of the attorneys as noted above.
- 3. Good cause exists in that Defendant is completing Plaintiff's deposition on Monday, April 4, 2011, and the completion of Plaintiff's deposition may reveal additional discovery to be completed. Accordingly, both counsel request modifications to the Court's Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Order so that both parties can adequately prepare this case for trial currently scheduled for October 3, 2011.
- 4. Further good cause exists in that the date for disclosure of expert witnesses and completion of expert discovery was not previously provided by the Court.
- 5. Further, neither party would be prejudiced by the requested modification to the Court's Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Order.

///

1	II. STIPULATION			
2	For the foregoing reasons, the parties to this action hereby <i>STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS</i> :			
3	1. That the following modifications be made to the Court's Status (Pretrial			
4	Scheduling) Order:			
5	<u>.</u>	Previous Date:	New Date:	
6	Non Expert Discovery Cut-Off	May 18, 2011	July 5, 2011	
7	-	,	•	
8	Expert Disclosure Date	no date provided	no date provided July 19, 2011	
9	Expert Discovery Cut-Off	no date provided	August 12, 2011	
10	Final Pretrial Conference	August 10, 2011 at 10:00 a.n	August 10, 2011 at 10:00 a.m. **	
11	JOINT Pretrial Statement	August 3, 2011**	August 3, 2011**	
12				
13	**The parties request the court reschedule the Final PreTrial Conference accordingly.			
14	Doted: April 6 2011 Pre /g/Laurance A Polym			
15	Dated: April 6, 2011 By: /s/ Lawrance A. Bohm Lawrance A. Bohm, Esq. Erika M. Gaspar, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff ANI CHOPOURIAN			
16				
17		ANICHOPO	UKIAN	
18		By: /s/ Judith Clark Mart	in	
19	Judith Clark Martin			
20	Roy L. Westfall Attorneys for Defendant,			
21		CATHOLIC HEAL		
22				
23	The court adopts the schedule proposed above and sets the final pretrial conference			
24	for August 25, 2011, with the joint pretrial statement due August 17, 2011.			
25	IT IS SO ORDERED: Date: April 6, 2011. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE			
26				
27				
28				