I

1	JUDITH CLARK MARTIN, STATE BAR NO. 173557 MARY E. "MOLLY" GREENE, STATE BAR NO. 186205			
2	LA FOLLETTE, JOHNSON, DE HAAS, FESLER & AMES			
3	Sacramento, California 95825 Phone: (916) 563-3100 Facsimile: (916) 565-3704 Email: JCMartin@ljdfa.com Email: MGreene@ljdfa.com Attorneys for Defendant, CATHOLIC HEALTHCARE WEST dba MERCY GENERAL HOSPITAL (erroneously			
4 5				
6				
7				
8				
9	WEST and MERCY GENERAL HOSPITAL)			
10				
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
12	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
13				
14	ANI CHOPOURIAN,	CASE NO. 2:09-CV-02972-KJM-KJN		
15	Plaintiff,	AMENDED STIPULATION AND ORDER RELATING TO VIDEO RECORDING OF		
16	v.)	DOCUMENT REVIEW AND DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR		
17 18	CATHOLIC HEALTHCARE WEST,) MERCY GENERAL HOSPITAL, AND) DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,)			
19) Defendants.			
20)			
21	The parties, having received the court's order pertaining to the parties' Stipulation and			
22	Order Relating to Video Recording of Document Review and Defendant's Motion for Protective			
23	Order, which the court issued on July 7, 2011, the parties have further met and conferred regarding			
24	the issues addressed in the court's order, and the parties stipulate and agree as follows:			
25	1. That an independent video company, DB Ronk, will retrieve the sealed box that was			
26	delivered to the court on July 1, 2011, which contains plaintiff's counsel's video camera.			
27	///			
28		1		
	Amended Stipulation and Order Relating to Video Recording of Document Review and Defendant's Motion for Protective Order Regarding the Video Recording Case No.: 2:09-CV-02972-KJM-KJN			

- That DB Ronk will, prior to returning the camera to plaintiff's counsel and without
 revealing the contents of the video camera to anyone who is not in the direct employ of DB Ronk,
 copy the contents of the video camera's files and/or memory to a single readable CD, labeled as
 "Video Recording of Defendant's Document Review of June 30, 2011."
- 5 3. That DB Ronk will then fully delete the entire contents of the video camera's
 6 memory.

7 4. The parties are currently working on a solution for storage of the CD once the
8 contents of the video camera are copied. While the parties make such arrangements, DB Ronk
9 will maintain the CD of the data and/or video files from the plaintiff's video camera.

10 5. Once the contents of the video camera's memory are downloaded to the CD and
11 erased, DB Ronk will then deliver the video camera to plaintiff's counsel.

6. Should the parties be unable to reach an agreement with regard to storage and
retention of the CD during the pendency of this litigation, the parties will file the appropriate
motion for protective order and/or request to file under seal in accordance with Local Rule 141.

7. The parties acknowledge that the purpose of the video recording ordered by this
Court was to ensure that defendant's counsel did not tamper with, modify or destroy any of
plaintiff's original documents during the document review.

18 8. The parties further acknowledge that the video recording may contain the protected
19 work product of defendant's counsel, and defendant does not waive any right to the protection of
20 such work product.

9. The parties further acknowledge that plaintiff's counsel has received all original
 documents that were reviewed by defendant's counsel, and that said documents were not damaged
 or tampered with in any manner.

24 10. Defendant will bear the cost of DB Ronk's services to retrieve the video camera
25 from the court, copy the contents of the video camera and delete the files therefrom, maintain the
26 CD until such time as an agreement or, if appropriate, court order, for its storage is obtained, and
27 return the video camera to plaintiff's counsel.

28

Amended Stipulation and Order Relating to Video Recording of Document Review and Defendant's Motion for Protective Order Regarding the Video Recording Case No.: 2:09-CV-02972-KJM-KJN

1	1 11. It is the understanding of the parties that a	ll efforts will be made by DB Ronk to	
2	ensure that the return of the video camera to plaintiff's counsel by the close of business Friday,		
3	July 8, 2011, realizing, of course, the necessity of this Court's approval of this stipulation before		
4	the sealed box can be retrieved by DB Ronk.		
5	12. Upon the execution and filing of this stipulation, defendant hereby withdraws its		
6	motion for protective order pertaining to the video recording of defendant's review of plaintiff's		
7	original documents.		
8		LETTE, JOHNSON,	
9		AS, FESLER & AMES	
10			
11	1 J	<u>s/ Mary E. Greene</u> UDITH CLARK MARTIN	
12	.2 A	ARY E. "MOLLY" GREENE Attorneys for Defendant,	
13	3 d	ATHOLIC HEALTHCARE WEST ba MERCY GENERAL HOSPITAL	
14	14 Č	erroneously sued and served herein as CATHOLIC HEALTHCARE WEST	
15	5 6	nd MERCY GENERAL HOSPITAL) 55 University Avenue, Suite 119	
16	.6	acramento, CA 95825 916) 563-3100 916) 565-3704 Faccimila	
17	17 È	916) 565-3704 Facsimile mail: <u>JCMartin@ljdfa.com</u> mail: <u>Mgreene@ljdfa.com</u>	
18		man. <u>Mgreene@jjuta.com</u>	
19	9 Dated: July 7, 2011 BOHM	LAW GROUP	
20	20		
21	By: <u>/s</u>	/Lawrance A. Bohm AWRANCE A. BOHM	
22	22 4	600 Northgate Blvd., Suite 210 acramento, CA 95834	
23	23 A	Attorney for Plaintiff, ANI CHOPOURIAN 916) 927-5574	
24	24	916) 927-2046 Facsimile mail: lbohm@bohmlaw.com	
25			
26	26 ///		
27	27 ///		
28	283		
	Amended Stipulation and Order Relating to Video Recording of Document Review and Defendant's Motion for Protective Order Regarding the Video Recording Case No.: 2:09-CV-02972-KJM-KJN		

1	Dated: July 7, 2011LAW OFFICE OF ERIKA M. GASPAR
2	By: /s/ Erika M. Gaspar
3	By: <u>/s/ Erika M. Gaspar</u> ERIKA M. GASPAR 2121 Natomas Crossing Drive
4 5	Suite 200-399 Sacramento, CA 95834 Attorney for Plaintiff, ANI CHOPOURIAN
5 6	(916) 749-0278 (916) 647-0535 Facsimile
7	Èmail: <u>erika.gaspar.law@gmail.com</u>
8	IT IS SO ORDERED:
9	Date: July 7, 2011
10	
11	Ferdell & Newman
12	KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	4
	Amended Stipulation and Order Relating to Video Recording of Document Review and Defendant's Motion for Protective Order Regarding the Video Recording Case No.: 2:09-CV-02972-KJM-KJN