(PC)Jones v	v. Dazo et al		
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITEI	O STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	JULIUS JONES,		
11	Plaintiff,	No. CIV S-09-2974 GEB GGH P	
12	vs.		
13	A. DAZO, et al.,		
14	Defendant.	<u>ORDER</u>	
15	/		
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action		
17	seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate		
18	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.		
19	On June 16, 2010, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations		
20	herein which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections		
21	to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has not filed		
22	objections to the findings and recommendations.		
23	The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be		
24	supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY		
25	ORDERED that:		
26	/////		
		1	

Doc. 11

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
	ı

25

26

1. The findings and recommendations filed June 16, 2010, are adopted in full;

2. Defendants Holloway, Bradley, Casey, Cardeno, Butler and Kramer are dismissed from this action.

Dated: August 6, 2010

and

ARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.

United States District Judge