I

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	ROBERT BEARD,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-09-2984 GGH P
12	VS.
13	MR. BRITT,
14	Defendant. <u>FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
15	/
16	By order filed November 16, 2009, the court granted plaintiff twenty-eight days to
17	file an amended complaint. In the November 16th order, the court informed plaintiff of the
18	deficiencies in his complaint. The twenty-eight day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not
19	filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.
20	For the reasons given in the November 16, 2009, order, IT IS HEREBY
21	RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed with prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R.
22	Civ. P. 41(b). The Clerk is directed to assign a district judge to this case.
23	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
24	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within
25	twenty-one days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file
26	written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate
	1

1	Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections
2	within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order.
3	DATED: January 21, 2010
4	/a/ Crasserry C. Hellows
5	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows GREGORY G. HOLLOWS
6	bear2984.fta
7	Deal 2964.11a
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
20 21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2