

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 DAVID D. JONES,

11 Petitioner,

No. 2:09-cv-3022-LKK-JFM (HC)

12 vs.

13 FRANCISCO JACQUEZ, Warden,

14 Respondent.

ORDER

15 _____/
16 On April 22, 2010, respondent filed a motion to dismiss this action for failure to
17 exhaust state court remedies. Petitioner has not opposed the motion.

18 Local Rule 230(l) provides in part: "Failure of the responding party to file written
19 opposition or to file a statement of no opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to
20 the granting of the motion" Local Rule 110 provides that failure to comply with the Local
21 Rules "may be grounds for imposition of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or
22 within the inherent power of the Court."

23 Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, within thirty days of the
24 date of this order, petitioner shall file an opposition, if any he has, to the motion to dismiss or a

25 /////

26 /////

1 statement of non-opposition. Failure to comply with this order may result in a recommendation
2 that respondent's motion be granted or that this action be dismissed pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P.
3 41(b).

4 DATED: June 3, 2010.

5
6 
7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

8 12
9 jone3022.46osc