(HC) Shuler v. Sisto 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 DAVID E. SHULER, No. CIV S-09-3037-CMK-P 12 Petitioner, 13 **ORDER** VS. D.K. SISTO, 14 15 Respondent. 16 17 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 challenging the denial of parole. Petitioner has not, 18 19 however, filed a complete application to proceed in forma pauperis, along with a "certification from the warden or other appropriate officer of the place of confinement showing the amount of 20 21 money or securities that the petitioner has in any account in the institution" as required by Rule 22 3(a)(2) of the Federal Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, or paid the required filing fee. See 23 28 U.S.C. §§ 1914(a), 1915(a). Petitioner will be provided the opportunity to submit either a 24 completed application to proceed in forma pauperis, with the required certification, or pay the

appropriate filing fee. As to the certification requirement, while a copy of petitioner's prison

trust account statement certified by prison officials is not required to satisfy the requirement,

25

26

Doc. 3

such a statement will suffice. Petitioner is warned that failure to comply with this order may result in the dismissal of this action for lack of prosecution and failure to comply with court rules and orders. See Local Rule 11-110.

## Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Petitioner shall submit on the form provided by the Clerk of the Court, within 30 days from the date of this order, a complete application for leave to proceed in forma pauperis, with the certification required by Rule 3(a)(2), or pay the appropriate filing fee; and
- 2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to send petitioner a new form Application to Proceed In Forma Pauperis By a Prisoner.

DATED: November 9, 2009

CRAIG M. KELLISON

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE