

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 TROY HAYLES,

11 Plaintiff,

No. 2:09-cv-3061 JFM (PC)

12 vs.

13 DR. ERICA WHEATHERFORD, et al.,

14 Defendants.

ORDER

15 \_\_\_\_\_/  
16 On August 12, 2010, plaintiff filed a motion to compel defendants to respond to  
17 his requests for production of documents. On November 15, 2010, the undersigned granted  
18 plaintiff's motion to compel and ordered defendants to submit responsive documents to plaintiff  
19 within thirty days of the date of the order. On December 21, 2010, defendants filed a motion for  
20 summary judgment.

21 On December 23, 2010, plaintiff renewed his motion to compel defendants to  
22 respond to his requests for production of documents. Therein, plaintiff asserted that defendants  
23 had not complied with the court's November 15, 2010 order and that he has not received  
24 documents responsive to his requests for production of documents. On March 1, 2011, plaintiff  
25 filed a motion for default, again alleging that defendants have failed to comply with the court's  
26 November 15, 2010 order. Plaintiff contends he has still not received any responsive documents.

1 Plaintiff seeks an order compelling defendants to comply with the court's November 15, 2010  
2 order, sanctions in the amount of \$200.00, and an extension of time to respond to defendants'  
3 motion for summary judgment.

4           Upon review, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that within twenty days from the date  
5 of this order defendants shall show cause why they plaintiff's motions should not be granted and  
6 sanctions imposed pursuant to Local Rule 110 for failure to comply with a court order.

7 DATED: March 25, 2011.

8  
9   
10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

11 /014;hayl3061.osc  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26