Jurin v. Google Inc.

Doc. 51

Dockets.Justia.com

PARTIES

- 4. Google lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations of Paragraph 4, and therefore denies the same.
- 5. Google admits that it is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware with its corporate offices located at 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway, Mountain View, California. Google admits that it operates internationally and that it has been recognized as the leading search engine on the Internet. Google lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to its share of the international market for search traffic, and therefore denies the same. Google denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 5.
- 6. The allegations of Paragraph 6 are unintelligible because Google Inc. is the sole defendant in this action, and on that basis, Google denies the allegations of Paragraph 6.

INTRODUCTION

- 7. Google denies that it engaged in unauthorized use and exploitation of Plaintiff's trademarks, and, to the extent Paragraph 7 can be read to allege that Google infringes registered trademarks not owned by or licensed to Google, denies the same. Google lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 7, and therefore denies the same.
- 8. Google admits that it provides search results to individuals in response to search queries. Google also admits that it offers a program called AdWords through which it offers advertising space, in which advertisers can bid on the opportunity to have their advertisements displayed. Google denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 8.
- 9. Google admits that one way some Internet users find websites on the Internet is by using search engines like Google, Yahoo!, or Bing. Google denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 9.
- 10. Google admits that some websites are designed to allow potential customers to obtain information regarding products, goods, or services offered for sale. Google denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 10.

- 11. Google admits that it periodically crawls and indexes certain websites on the Internet to gather data for use in determining relevant search results and stores certain information about those websites. Google admits that it ranks search results based on an algorithm and displays those search results on results pages in response to queries input by users of its search engine. Google denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 11.
- 12. Google admits that organic search results and pay per click advertising are two means by which traffic can be generated for a website. Google admits that its Keyword Tool provides certain information about keywords. Google denies the allegations of the first sentence of Paragraph 12. Google lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 12, and therefore denies the same.
- 13. Google admits that one way to create a website is to use HTML, JavaScript, and CSS and that a website creator can choose to build the content of the site around a keyword. Google admits that the rank of a website in Google's search results is based on an algorithm. Google denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 13.
- 14. Google denies the first three sentences of Paragraph 14 on the grounds that they are unintelligible. Google admits that its AdWords Program offers pay-per-click advertising, but denies that it functions as described in Paragraph 14 and denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 14.
- 15. Google admits that it offers an advertising program called AdWords. Google lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to its share of the international market for search traffic, and therefore denies the same. Google denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 15.
- 16. Google lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations of Paragraph 16, and therefore denies the same.
- 17. Google lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations of Paragraph 17, and therefore denies the same.
- 18. Google lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations of Paragraph 18, and therefore denies the same.

https://adwords.google.com/support/aw/bin/answer.py?hl=en&answer=144298. Google denies

that all information regarding those policies is reflected at that specific web site address. Google

admits that copies of what appear to be the policy statements on the web sites listed in Paragraphs

1

2

- 36. Google admits that the sites listed in the organic search results are ranked in order of what Google deems to be most relevant based on its algorithm, but lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 36, and therefore denies them.
- 37. Google admits that it strives to provide users with results it believes are relevant to a user's query. Google denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 37.
 - 38. Google denies the allegations of Paragraph 38.
- 39. Google lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations of Paragraph 39, and therefore denies the same.
- 40. Google lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in the first three sentences of Paragraph 40, and therefore denies the same. Google admits that it has never licensed or otherwise received an express grant of any rights in Plaintiff's mark other than in connection with his advertising, but Google denies the implication that it needs Plaintiff's permission, authority, or license in connection with the operation of the AdWords Program or Google's organic search listings. Google denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 40
 - 41. Google denies the allegations of Paragraph 41.
- 42. Google denies the allegations of sentence 1 of Paragraph 42. Google lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 42, and therefore denies the same.
- 43. Google lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 43, and therefore denies the same.
- 44. Google admits that its AdWords program offers advertising that may allow an advertiser to target consumers based on interests expressed by users' search query terms, that the advertising may appear on the same page as search results that contain a keyword, and that such advertising may be advantageous to consumers and advertisers. Google denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 44.
 - 45. Google denies the allegations of Paragraph 45.

1	46.	Google admits that it offers a tool to provide AdWords users with certain
2	information a	about potential keywords and that some people may call that tool a "Keyword
3	Suggestion T	ool, but Google denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 46.
4	47.	Google denies the allegations of Paragraph 47.
5	48.	Google admits that Plaintiff has provided written notice to Google of alleged
6	trademark violations, but denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 48.	
7	49.	Google denies the allegations of Paragraph 49.
8	50.	Google denies the allegations of Paragraph 50.
9	51.	Google denies the allegations of Paragraph 51.
10	52.	Google denies the allegations of Paragraph 52.
11	53.	Google denies the allegations of Paragraph 53.
12	54.	Google denies the allegations of Paragraph 54.
13	55.	Google denies the allegations of Paragraph 55.
14		FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF VIOLATION OF THE LANHAM ACT, 15 U.S.C. § 1114(1)
15	56.	Google incorporates its responses to each and every allegation contained above
16	with the sam	e force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
17	57.	Google lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or
18 19	falsity of the	allegations of Paragraph 57, and therefore denies the same.
20	58.	Google denies the allegations of Paragraph 58.
20	59.	Paragraph 59 is unintelligible as an allegation as it lacks a verb, and on that ground
22	Google denie	es the same.
23	60.	The first sentence is a legal conclusion to which no response is required, and on
23 24	that ground (Google denies it. Google denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 60.
2 4 25	61.	Google lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or
25 26	falsity of the	allegations of Paragraph 61, and therefore denies the same.
20 27	62.	Google denies the allegations of Paragraph 62.
2/	63.	Google denies the allegations of Paragraph 63.

27- Case No. :09-cv-03065-MCE-KJN (TEMP)
DEFENDANT'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

1	80.	Google denies the allegations of Paragraph 80.
2	81.	Google denies the allegations of Paragraph 81.
3	81a.	Google denies the allegations of Paragraph 81a.
4	81b.	Google denies the allegations of Paragraph 81b.
5	82.	Google denies the allegations of Paragraph 82.
5	82a.	Google denies the allegations of Paragraph 82a.
7	83.	Google denies the allegations of Paragraph 83.
8	84.	Google denies the allegations of Paragraph 84.
9	85.	Google denies the allegations of Paragraph 85.
)	86.	Google denies the allegations of Paragraph 86.
1	I	THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF DILUTION OF PLAINTIFF'S TRADEMARK – 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c)
$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	87.	Google incorporates its responses to each and every allegation contained above
3 1	with the same	force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
F	88.	Google lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or
, 5	falsity of the a	allegations of Paragraph 88, and therefore denies the same.
, 7	89.	Google denies the allegations of Paragraph 89.
3	90.	Google denies the allegations of Paragraph 90.
9	91.	Google denies the allegations of Paragraph 91.
)	92.	Google denies the allegations of Paragraph 92.
֡ ֖֡֞֞֞֜֞֞֜֞֞֩֞֞֩֞֩֞֜֞֜֝֡֓֓֓֓֞֝	93.	Google denies the allegations of Paragraph 93.
2	94.	Google denies the allegations of Paragraph 94.
3	95.	Google denies the allegations of Paragraph 95.
, 	96.	Google denies the allegations of Paragraph 96.
5	97.	Google denies the allegations of Paragraph 97.
5		FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF COMMON LAW TRADEMARK VIOLATION
7	98.	Google incorporates its responses to each and every allegation contained above
8	with the same	force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

Case No.:09-cv-03065-MCE-KJN (TEMP)

Case No.:09-cv-03065-MCE-KJN (TEMP)

- 1		
1	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
2	(Functionality)	
3	135. The claims made in the Complaint and the relief sought therein are barred, in whole	
4	or in part, on the basis that the mark and any use of the mark at issue are functional.	
5	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
6	(Innocent Infringement)	
7	136. The claims made in the Complaint and the relief sought therein are barred, in whole	
8	or in part, because infringement, if any, was innocent.	
9	FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
10	(Statutes of Limitations)	
11	137. The claims made in the Complaint and the relief sought therein are barred, in whole	
12	or in part, by applicable statutes of limitations.	
13	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
14	(Laches)	
15	138. The claims made in the Complaint and the relief sought therein are barred by	
16	laches, in that Plaintiff has unreasonably delayed efforts to enforce its rights, if any, despite its full	
17	awareness of Google's actions.	
18	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
19	(Generic Terms)	
20	139. The claims made in the Complaint and the relief sought therein are barred, in whole	
21	or in part, on the basis that the mark at issue is generic.	
22	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
23	(Lack of Secondary Meaning)	
24	140. The claims made in the Complaint and the relief sought therein are barred, in whole	
25	or in part, on the basis that the mark at issue lacks secondary meaning.	
26		
27		
28		
	-12- Case No. :09-cv-03065-MCE-KJN (TEMP) DEFENDANT'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES	

- 1	
1	NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
2	(Waiver, Acquiescence, and Estoppel)
3	141. Each of the purported claims set forth in this Complaint and the relief sought
4	therein is barred by the doctrines of waiver, acquiescence, and estoppel.
5	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
6	(Non-Infringement)
7	142. Defendant has not infringed any applicable trademarks under federal or state law.
8	ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
9	(No Causation)
10	143. Plaintiff's claims against Google are barred because Plaintiff's damages, if any,
11	were not caused by Google.
12	TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
13	(No Damage)
14	144. Without admitting that the Complaint states a claim, there has been no damage in
15	any amount, manner or at all by reason of any act alleged against Defendant in the Complaint, and
16	the relief prayed for in the Complaint therefore cannot be granted.
17	THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
18	(Unclean Hands)
19	145. Plaintiff's claims and the relief sought therein are barred by the doctrine of unclean
20	hands.
21	FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
22	(Lack of Irreparable Harm)
23	146. Plaintiff's claims for injunctive relief are barred because Plaintiff cannot show that
24	it will suffer any irreparable harm from Google's actions.
25	
26	
27	
28	
	-13- Case No. :09-cv-03065-MCE-KJN (TEMP) DEFENDANT'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

1	FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
2	(Adequacy of Remedy at Law)
3	147. The alleged injury or damage suffered by Plaintiff, if any, would be adequately
4	compensated by damages. Accordingly, Plaintiff has a complete and adequate remedy at law and
5	is not entitled to seek equitable relief.
6	SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
7	(Failure to Mitigate)
8	148. The claims made in the Complaint are barred, in whole or in part, because of a
9	failure to mitigate damages, if such damages exist.
10	SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
11	(First Amendment)
12	149. The claims made in the Complaint are barred, in whole or in part, by the First
13	Amendment to the Constitution of the United States.
14	EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
15	(Duplicative Claims)
16	150. Without admitting that the Complaint states a claim, any remedies are limited to the
17	extent that there is sought an overlapping or duplicative recovery pursuant to the various claims
18	against Google or others for any alleged single wrong.
19	NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
20	(Fraud)
21	151. The claims made in the Complaint are barred, in whole or in part, by fraud on the
22	United States Patent & Trademark Office.
23	TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
24	(Third-Party Use)
25	152. The claims made in the Complaint are barred, in whole or in part, by reason of
26	other parties' use of any marks at issue.
27	
28	
- 1	

1	TWENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
2	(Actions of Others)	
3	153. The claims made in the Complaint are barred, in whole or in part, because Google	
4	is not liable for the acts of others over whom it has no control.	
5	TWENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
6	(No Punitive Damages)	
7	154. Google alleges that no punitive or exemplary damages should be awarded arising	
8	out of the claims made in the Complaint under the law of the United States and California	
9	because: (i) an award of punitive or exemplary damages would be unconstitutional under the	
10	United States and California Constitutions; specifically, the First Amendment to the United States	
11	Constitution and Article I, Section 2 of the California Constitution; (ii) any recovery of punitive or	
12	exemplary damages arising out of the claims made in the Complaint would constitute the	
13	imposition of a criminal fine or penalty without the substantive or procedural safeguards	
14	guaranteed by the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and by	
15	Article I, Section 7 of the California Constitution; (iii) the imposition of any punitive or exemplary	
16	damages in this lawsuit would constitute an excessive fine or penalty under Article I, Section 17 of	
17	the California Constitution; (iv) any such award is precluded or limited pursuant to Section 3294	
18	of the California Civil Code or the United States Constitution and the due process clause; and (v)	
19	punitive damages would violate the United States and California Constitutions and common law	
20	because such an award is based from procedures that are vague, open-ended, unbound in	
21	discretion, arbitrary and without sufficient constraints or protection against arbitrary and excessive	
22	awards.	
23	ADDITIONAL DEFENSES	

155. Google reserves the right to assert additional defenses based on information learned or obtained during discovery.

WHEREFORE, Google prays for judgment as follows:

1. That Jurin takes nothing by way of its Complaint;

1	2. That the Complaint, and each and every purported claim for relief therein,
2	be dismissed with prejudice.
3	3. That Google be awarded its costs of suit incurred herein, including attorneys' fees and expenses; and
4	4. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.
5	
6	
7	DATED: March 1, 2011 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
8	SULLIVAN, LLP
9	
10	By: /s/
11	Margret M. Caruso Attorney for Google Inc.
12	Attorney for Google file.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
$\begin{bmatrix} 21 \\ 22 \end{bmatrix}$	
$\begin{bmatrix} 22 \\ 23 \end{bmatrix}$	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	-16- Case No. :09-cv-03065-MCE-KJN (TEMP)
	-16- Case No. :09-cv-03065-MCE-KJN (TEMP) DEFENDANT'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Google hereby demands a jury trial on all issues. QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & DATED: March 1, 2011 SULLIVAN, LLP By: /s/ Margret M. Caruso Margret M. Caruso Attorney for Google Inc. -17- Case No. :09-cv-03065-MCE-KJN (TEMP) DEFENDANT'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I HEREBY CERTIFY that on March 1, 2011, I will electronically file the foregoing with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which will then send a notification of such filing (NEF) to the following: Paul R. Bartleson Law Offices of Paul R. Bartleson 1007 7th Street, Suite 214 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 447-6640 Facsimile: (916) 447-7840 paulbartlesonlaw@comcast.net Counsel for Plaintiff Daniel Jurin. By /s/ Margret M. Caruso Margret M. Caruso Attorney for Google Inc.

Case No.:09-cv-03065-MCE-KJN (TEMP)