dismissed on procedural grounds,

26

Doc. 47

a habeas prisoner must make a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right, a demonstration that, under <u>Barefoot [v. Estelle</u>, 463 U.S. 880, 894 (1983), <u>superseded on other grounds by 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2)]</u>, includes showing that reasonable jurists could debate whether (or, for that matter, agree that) the petition should have been resolved in a different manner or that the issues presented were "adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further." <u>Barefoot</u>, <u>supra</u>, at 893, and n.4, 103 S.Ct. 3383 ("sum[ming] up" the "substantial showing" standard).

Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 483-84 (2000).

After careful review of the entire record herein, this court finds that petitioner has not satisfied the requirement for issuance of a certificate of appealability in this case.

Specifically, there is no showing that jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the instant petition is barred by the statute of limitations. Accordingly, a certificate of appealability should not issue in this action.

ted States District Judge

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 29, 2011