

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 HON LAU,

11 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-09-3146 DAD P

12 vs.

13 BILL LOCKYER,

14 Defendant.

ORDER

15 _____/
16 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a civil rights action pursuant to
17 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On several occasions, the court has directed plaintiff to either pay the filing
18 fee in full for this action or file a properly completed in forma pauperis application. (See Doc.
19 Nos. 4, 9, 11, & 14.) On each occasion, and most recently on June 3, 2010, plaintiff has filed an
20 in forma pauperis application without a certified copy of his trust account statement, as required
21 by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2).

22 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

23 1. Plaintiff's June 3, 2010 application to proceed in forma pauperis (Doc. No. 15)
24 is denied; and

25 2. Within thirty days of the date of this order, plaintiff shall show cause in writing
26 why this action should not be dismissed for failure to pay the filing fee in full or file a properly

1 completed in forma pauperis application. If plaintiff is unable to obtain a certified copy of his
2 trust account statement from the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, as he
3 seems to suggest, he shall provide the dates on which he requested his trust account statement; to
4 whom he made his requests; and the reasons that were given by prison officials for denying his
5 request. Plaintiff is warned that failure to respond to this order may result in dismissal of this
6 action for the reasons outlined above.

7 DATED: June 8, 2010.

8
9 
10 DALE A. DROZD
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

11 DAD:sj
12 lau3146.46ifp
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26