IC) Alverez	z v. Martel	
1		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	DI THE LINETED STATES DISTRICT COLUDT	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	FRANK G. ALVEREZ,	
11	Petitioner, No.2:09-cv-3163-JAM-JFM	
12	VS.	
13	MICHAEL MARTEL,	
14	Respondent. <u>FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS</u>	
15		
16	On March 26, 2010, petitioner was ordered to show cause, within twenty days,	
17	why his action should not be dismissed. The twenty day period has now expired, and petitioner	
18	has not shown cause or otherwise responded to the court's order.	
19	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without	
20	prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).	
21	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District	
22	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within twenty	
23	days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written	
24	objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned	

"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any reply to the objections shall be served and filed within ten days after service of the objections. The parties are advised

Doc. 14

that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District
Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

DATED: June 8, 2010.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

//014.alve3163.fsc.hab