| (PC) Wagne | r v. Posner et al | |
|------------|---|-----------------------------|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| 1 | | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 9 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | CLINTON WAGNER, | |
| 11 | Plaintiff, | No. 2: 09-cv-3166 KJM KJN P |
| 12 | VS. | |
| 13 | MOSS POSNER, et al., | |
| 14 | Defendants. | ORDER |
| 15 | | _/ |
| 16 | Plaintiff is a state prisoner, proceeding in forma pauperis and without counsel, | |
| 17 | with a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On July 6, 2012, the court granted in part | |
| 18 | and denied in part defendants' summary judgment motion. | |
| 19 | Recently the Ninth Circuit issued an order requiring that all prisoners proceeding | |
| 20 | without counsel must be provided contemporaneous notice of certain requirements for opposing | |
| 21 | a motion for summary judgment. Woods v. Carey, 684 F.3d 934 (9th Cir. 2012). | |
| 22 | Defendants' summary judgment motion contained notice to plaintiff of the | |
| 23 | requirements for opposing a motion for summary judgment. (Dkt. No. 76.) Defendants' notice | |
| 24 | //// | |
| 25 | //// | |
| 26 | //// | |
| | | 1 |

Doc. 134

substantially complied with the requirements set forth in Woods. For that reason, no further briefing order regarding defendants' summary judgment motion will be issued. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: September 17, 2012 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE wag3166.rand