1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	MALIK JONES,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-09-3174 MCE CKD P
12	VS.
13	A. DAVID, et al.,
14	Defendants. FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS
15	/
16	On November 15, 2011 defendant Holmes filed a motion to dismiss pursuant to
17	Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6). Plaintiff has not opposed the motion.
18	Local Rule 230(1) provides in part: "Failure of the responding party to file written
19	opposition or to file a statement of no opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to
20	the granting of the motion" On July 23, 2011 plaintiff was advised of the requirements for
21	filing an opposition to a motion to dismiss and that failure to oppose such a motion may be
22	deemed a waiver of opposition to the motion.
23	/////
24	/////
25	/////
26	/////
	1

1	Accordingly, plaintiff's failure to oppose should be deemed a waiver of
2	opposition to the granting of the motion. IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that the November
3	15, 2011 motion to dismiss filed by defendant Holmes be granted.
4	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
5	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen
6	days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written
7	objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned
8	"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any reply to the objections
9	shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are
10	advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the
11	District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
12	Dated: December 28, 2011
13	Carop U. Delang
14	CAROLYŇ K. DELANEY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
15	
16	
17	de jone3174.46
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2