1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	MALIK JONES,
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:09-cv-3174 MCE CKD P
12	VS.
13	A. DAVID, et al.,
14	Defendants. ORDER
15	/
16	On November 30, 2012, defendants filed a motion for summary judgment
17	pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56. (Dkt. No. 76.) On January 25, 2013, plaintiff
18	was granted a thirty-day extension of time to file and serve an opposition to the motion. (Dkt.
19	No. 80.) That period has expired, and plaintiff has not opposed the motion.
20	Local Rule 230(1) provides in part: "Failure of the responding party to file written
21	opposition or to file a statement of no opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to
22	the granting of the motion" On December 19, 2012, plaintiff was advised of the
23	requirements for filing an opposition to the motion and that failure to oppose such a motion may
24	be deemed a waiver of opposition to the motion. (Dkt. No. 78.) Local Rule 110 provides that
25	failure to comply with the Local Rules "may be grounds for imposition of any and all sanctions
26	authorized by statute or Rule or within the inherent power of the Court."

1

1	Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, within thirty days of the
2	date of this order, plaintiff shall file an opposition, if any, to the motion for summary judgment.
3	Failure to file an opposition will be deemed as a statement of non-opposition and shall result in a
4	recommendation that this action be dismissed pursuant Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).
5	Dated: March 11, 2013
6	Carop U. Delany
7	CAROLYŇ K. DELANEY / UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8	
9	
10	
11	2 jone3174.46.osc
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
26	
	2

I

I