1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	NOLASCO CONSTANTINO CRUZ, No. 2:09-cv-03212-MCE-KJM
12	Plaintiff,
13	v. <u>MEMORANDUM AND ORDER</u>
14 15 16 17	AURORA LOAN SERVICES; GMAC MORTGAGE; OLYMPIA FUNDING, INC.; QUALITY LOAN SERVICE CORPORATION; HILLTOP FINANCIAL MORTGAGE, INC.; IBRAHIM K. KABA; SUNMEET NARINDER ANAND; and THANH NGOC NGUYEN,
18	Defendants.
19	00000
20	
21	This action arises out of a mortgage loan transaction in
22	which Plaintiff Nolasco Constantino Cruz ("Plaintiff") refinanced
23	his home. Presently before the Court are Motions by Defendants
24	Aurora Loan Services and GMAC Mortgage, LLC ("Defendants") to
25	Dismiss the claims alleged against them in Plaintiff's First
26	Amended Complaint for failure to state a claim upon which relief
27	may be granted pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure
28	12(b)(6).

Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint alleges only state law causes of action. Plaintiff has filed a Statement of Non-Opposition in which he does not oppose dismissal of his First Amended Complaint for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. He has also filed a Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction scheduled for hearing on June 24, 2010.

7 Plaintiff is cautioned against filing complaints in this
8 Court and then dismissing the federal claims as soon as a Motion
9 to Dismiss is filed.

However, with only Plaintiff's state law claims remaining, this Court ceases to have subject matter jurisdiction over the suit. The Court declines to exercise its supplemental jurisdiction over the remaining state causes of action and they are dismissed without prejudice. The Court need not address the merits of Defendants' Motions to Dismiss (Docket Nos. 34 and 38) as those issues are now moot.¹ Plaintiff's own Motion to Dismiss (Docket No. 39) is also moot and the hearing is hereby vacated.

For the reasons stated above, the case is dismissed.

The Clerk is directed to close the file.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 8, 2010

MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.) UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

²⁷ ¹ Because oral argument will not be of material assistance, the Court deemed this matter suitable for decision without oral argument. E.D. Cal. Local Rule 230 (g).