1	Mark E. Merin (State Bar No. 043849) Paul H. Masuhara (State Bar No. 289805) LAW OFFICE OF MARK E. MERIN 1010 F Street, Suite 300 Sacramento, California 95814	
2		
3		
4	Telephone: (916) 443-6911 Facsimile: (916) 447-8336	
5	E-Mail: mark@markmerin.com paul@markmerin.com	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff RANDY REAL	
7		
8	KAMALA D. HARRIS, State Bar No. 146672 Attorney General of California MONICA N. ANDERSON, State Bar No. 182970 Supervising Deputy Attorney General JAIME M. GANSON, State Bar No. 230206 Deputy Attorney General 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-6421 Fax: (916) 324-5205 E-mail: Jaime.Ganson@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Defendant	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15	R. RAMOS	
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
17	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
18	SACRAMENTO DIVISION	
19	RANDY REAL,	Case No. 2:09-cv-03273-GEB-KJN (PC)
20	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION TO VACATE DISCOVERY
21	vs.	DEADLINE; [PROPOSED] ORDER
22	R. RAMOS,	
23	Defendant.	
24	<u>INTRODUCTION</u>	
25	Plaintiff Randy Real ("Plaintiff") and Defendant Captain Ramos ("Defendant") request that the	
26	Court vacate the discovery and dispositive-motion deadlines while the parties pursue settlement	
27	discussions. A stipulation and proposed order reflecting this request is included below.	
28	///	
		1

RELEVANT BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- 1. The current discovery deadline in this matter is October 23, 2015. (ECF No. 89 at 5:12.)
- 2. The pretrial-motion deadline, excluding motions to compel discovery, is January 8, 2015. (ECF No. 89 at 5:15-16.)
- 3. Plaintiff's motion to strike affirmative defenses from Defendant's answer to the second amended complaint is currently pending decision by this Court. (ECF No. 96.)
- 4. Plaintiff intends to serve discovery concerning the affirmative defenses that remain following the ruling on the motion to strike.
- 5. Defendant has served written discovery on Plaintiff, including requests for admissions, requests for production, and interrogatories. Plaintiff's responses to these requests are still outstanding. Defendant also intends to take Plaintiff's deposition.
- 6. The parties wish to engage in settlement discussions in a good faith effort to resolve this matter short of continued litigation. However, Plaintiff's incarceration has prolonged settlement discussions because correspondence between the Plaintiff and his counsel is limited and delayed.
- 7. In the event that settlement discussions are unsuccessful, the parties wish to reserve their right to complete the remaining and outstanding discovery necessary to effectively litigate this matter to conclusion. Furthermore, some subjects of discovery may be mooted by the Court's entry of an order regarding Plaintiff's pending motion to strike.
- 8. To permit the parties adequate time to focus on settlement without incurring further litigation costs that could hinder the settlement discussions, the parties request that the Court vacate the current discovery and dispositive-motion deadlines and reset them, if necessary, if the settlement efforts fail. The parties will promptly alert the Court if the case does not settle and will propose new discovery and dispositive-motion deadlines for the Court's consideration.

26 |

1 **STIPULATION** 2 The parties STIPULATE to vacate the October 23, 2015 discovery deadline and the 3 January 8, 2015 pretrial-motion deadline, and to stay the deadline for responding to all outstanding 4 discovery requests until the parties' settlement discussions have concluded and the Court issues a 5 decision regarding Plaintiff's pending motion to strike. Dated: September 18, 2015 Respectfully Submitted, 6 7 LAW OFFICE OF MARK E. MERIN /s/ Paul H. Masuhara 8 9 By: _____ Paul H. Masuhara 10 Attorney for Plaintiff 11 RANDY REAL 12 Dated: September 18, 2015 Respectfully Submitted, 13 KAMALA D. HARRIS 14 Attorney General of California MONICA N. ANDERSON 15 Supervising Deputy Attorney General 16 /s/ Jaime M. Ganson 17 (as authorized on September 18, 2015) By: _ 18 JAIME M. GANSON Deputy Attorney General 19 20 Attorneys for Defendant R. RAMOS 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

If the case does not settle, within fifteen days of the conclusion of settlement negotiations or the entry of the Court's ruling on Plaintiff's motion to strike, whichever is later, but in no case later than December 31, 2015, the parties shall file a joint proposal to reset the discovery and pretrial-motion

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE