1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	RICHARD MANUEL BURGOS, No. CIV S-09-3276-CMK-P
12	Plaintiff,
13	vs. <u>ORDER</u>
14	MATTHEW L. CATE, et al.,
15	Defendants.
16	/
17	
18	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant
19	to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Pursuant to Eastern District of California Local Rules, this case was not
20	assigned to a District Judge when the case was filed. All parties have not consented to
21	Magistrate Judge jurisdiction and the court now finds that assignment of a District Judge is
22	necessary to properly address the case.
23	///
24	///
25	///
26	///
	1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is directed to
2	randomly assign a District Judge to this case and to update the docket accordingly.
3	
4	DATED: August 26, 2011
5	CRAIG M. KELLISON
6	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7 8	
o 9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 22	
22	
23 24	
25	
26	
	2