




1 Reconsideration (ECF No. 37) that challenges the Magistrate Judge's September 30, 2011  
2 decision which denied Plaintiff's extension request. Given the pending Motion for  
3 Reconsideration, this court decided to go ahead and review Plaintiff's previously filed objections  
4 before otherwise addressing the reconsideration request. Regardless, the court notes the receipt  
5 of his objections, and has considered the same. Having reviewed Plaintiff's objections, the court  
6 finds that they do not change its previous October 27, 2011 Order adopting the findings and  
7 recommendations. The Court will therefore reiterate its prior ruling:

8           In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule  
9 304(f), this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the  
10 entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by  
11 proper analysis.

12           Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 13           1.     The findings and recommendations filed September 2, 2011, are adopted  
14 in full; and
- 15           2.     Plaintiff's motions for preliminary injunction (Docs. 13, 14, 15, 24) are  
16 denied.
- 17           3.     Having reviewed Plaintiff's objections to the findings and  
18 recommendations, Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration (ECF No. 38) is denied as moot.

19 Dated: December 16, 2011

20   
21 \_\_\_\_\_  
22 MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.  
23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
24  
25  
26