

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 TAHEE ABD' RASHEED,

11 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-09-3300 KJM

12 vs.

13 CASTRO,

14 Defendant.

ORDER

15 _____/
16 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with an action under 42 U.S.C.
17 § 1983. He has consented to magistrate judge jurisdiction.

18 By order of May 3, 2010, the court denied plaintiff's application to proceed in
19 forma pauperis on the basis that the "three strikes" provision of the Prison Litigation Reform Act
20 bars the court from granting him that status. See Order (Docket No. 19); 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).
21 The court gave plaintiff thirty days in which to pay the entire filing fee, apprising him that failure
22 to do so would result in dismissal of this action. That time period has now expired, and plaintiff
23 has not paid the filing fee. Indeed, plaintiff's most recent filing concedes that his case does not
24 "warrant the extraordinary circumstances." See Request (Docket No. 20). Accordingly, it does
25 not qualify for an exception to the requirement that plaintiff pay the filing fee in full in order to
26 proceed in this case.

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action is dismissed without
2 prejudice.

3 DATED: June 16, 2010.

4
5 rash3300.dism



U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE