findings and recommendations.

23

24

25

26

In addition, on September 7, 2011, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations addressing a motion for injunctive relief filed by plaintiff; these findings and recommendations also were served on all parties and notified the parties that any objections were Doc. 107

to be filed within fourteen days. Neither party has filed objections to these findings and 1 2 recommendations. 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 time-barred; 14 15 16 adopted in full; and 17 DATED: September 26, 2011. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 /mest3307.801c

The court thus presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). Having carefully reviewed the file, the court finds both sets of findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. The findings and recommendations filed August 8, 2011, are adopted in full; 2. Defendant Miller's motion to dismiss (ECF 53) is granted; 3. Defendant Miller's motion for summary judgment (ECF 53) is granted; 4. Plaintiff's 2009 claims against defendant Miller are dismissed with prejudice; 5. Plaintiff's 1996 and 2000 claims against defendant Miller are dismissed as 6. The findings and recommendations filed September 7, 2011 (ECF 101) are 7. Plaintiff's motion for a preliminary injunction (ECF 90) is denied.