

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DAVID EARL PARMER,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-09-3310 FCD GGH P

vs.

J. WALKER, et al.,

Defendants.

FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

\_\_\_\_\_ /

By order filed May 10, 2010, the court granted plaintiff twenty-eight days to file an amended complaint. In the May10th order, the court informed plaintiff of the deficiencies in his complaint. The twenty-eight day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court’s order.

For the reasons given in the May 10, 2010, order, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed with prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen (14) days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate

1 Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and  
2 served within fourteen days after service of the objections. Plaintiff is advised that failure to file  
3 objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order.

4 DATED: July 26, 2010

5 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows

6  
7 GREGORY G. HOLLOWS  
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
parm3310.fta