submitted to the United States Marshal." (Dkt. No. 6 at 9.) It further provided that "[p]laintiff's failure to comply with this order may result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed." (Id. at 10.)

24

25

26

Doc. 10

1

As of the date of this order, plaintiff has not filed the required statement notifying the court that she timely submitted the required service documents to the United States Marshal. Plaintiff's failure to comply with the court's order and failure to prosecute her lawsuit are grounds for dismissal. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); Local Rules 110, 183(a); see Hells Canyon Preservation Council v. U.S. Forest Serv., 403 F.3d 683, 689 (9th Cir. 2005) (recognizing that courts may dismiss an action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) sua sponte for a plaintiff's failure to prosecute or comply with the rules of civil procedure or the court's orders); Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (per curiam) ("Failure to follow a district court's local rules is a proper ground for dismissal."); King v. Atiyeh, 814 F.2d 565, 567 (9th Cir. 1987) ("Pro se litigants must follow the same rules of procedure that govern other litigants.").

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Within 14 days of the date of service of this order, plaintiff shall file a written statement with the court showing good cause why her lawsuit should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute and failure to comply with the court's order.
- 2. Plaintiff's failure to file the required writing shall constitute additional grounds for dismissal of this action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: July 8, 2010

20

21

26

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE