1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	ANO LAUTIEJ,
11	Plaintiff, CIV. NO. S-09-3315 FCD GGH PS
12	VS.
13	WAL-MART #2308,
14	
15	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
16	/
17	
18	Presently before the court is plaintiffs' request for appointment of counsel.
19	The district court has discretion under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1) to request counsel
20	to represent an indigent civil litigant. First, however, the court must evaluate both (1) the
21	likelihood of success on the merits and (2) the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro
22	se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. See, e.g., Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d
23	1015, 1017 (9th Cir.1991). On the record before it, the court cannot find a likelihood of success
24	on the merits. See Franklin v. Murphy, 745 F.2d 1221, 1236 (9th Cir.1984) (motions to appoint
25	counsel granted only in exceptional circumstances and at discretion of trial court).
26	
	1

(PS) Lautiej v. Wal-Mart

Doc. 14

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that plaintiffs' May 18, 2010 request for appointment of counsel is denied. DATED: May 24, 2010 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows GREGORY G. HOLLOWS U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE GGH:076/Anderson2321.apt