I

| 1 | |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 9 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 10 | DEREK J. BLOODWORTH, |
| 11 | Plaintiff, No. CIV S-09-3348 GEB EFB P |
| 12 | VS. |
| 13 | N. HAYWARD, et al., |
| 14 | Defendants. <u>FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</u> |
| 15 | / |
| 16 | Plaintiff is a former state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under |
| 17 | 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On August 23, 2011, defendants Bush, Hayward, Glensor, Johnson, Cox, |
| 18 | Ostrom and Ebbitt filed a motion to dismiss pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6). Dckt. No. 21. |
| 19 | On June 23, 2011, the court advised plaintiff of the requirements for opposing a motion |
| 20 | to dismiss and that failure to oppose such a motion might be deemed a waiver of opposition to |
| 21 | the motion. Plaintiff failed to file an opposition. |
| 22 | On October 27, 2011, the court gave plaintiff thirty days to file an opposition or |
| 23 | statement of non-opposition and warned him that failure to do so could result in a |
| 24 | recommendation that this action be dismissed. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). The time for acting |
| 25 | has passed and plaintiff has not filed an opposition, a statement of no opposition or otherwise |
| 26 | responded to the court's order. |
| | 1 |

1

Plaintiff has been warned that he must file a response to defendants' motion. Plaintiff has disobeyed this court's orders. The appropriate sanction is dismissal without prejudice.

Accordingly, it is RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge
assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days
after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written
objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned
"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections
within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. *Turner v. Duncan*, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

2 Dated: January 4, 2012.

EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE