

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DEREK J. BLOODWORTH,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-09-3351 WBS KJM P

vs.

M. HAMILTON,

Defendant.

FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

\_\_\_\_\_/

By an order filed May 10, 2010, this court ordered plaintiff to complete and return to the court, within thirty days, the USM-285 form necessary to effect service on defendant Hamilton. The court granted plaintiff an additional thirty days on June 16, 2010. See Docket No. 12. That thirty-day period has since passed, and plaintiff has not responded in any way to the court’s order.

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty-one days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Findings

1 and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified  
2 time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153  
3 (9th Cir. 1991).

4 DATED: September 9, 2010.

  
U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

6 4  
bloo3351.fusm

7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26