

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAINT CHARLES THURMAN;  
GWEN THURMAN,

Plaintiffs,

No. CIV S-09-3358 JAM EFB PS

vs.

BARCLAYS CAPITAL REAL ESTATE  
CORPORATION dba HOMEQ SERVICING;  
WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.; QUALITY  
LOAN SERVICE CORPORATION,

Defendants.

ORDER

On March 7, 2011, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. No objections were filed.<sup>1</sup>

Accordingly, the court presumes any findings of fact are correct. See Orland v. United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1999). The magistrate judge’s conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified Sch. Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983).

---

<sup>1</sup> On March 17, 2011, plaintiff Saint Charles Thurman filed a notice with the court indicating that plaintiffs “accept” the March 7, 2011 findings and recommendations. Dckt. No. 40.

