

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 ALEXIOS ALEXANDER,

11 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-09-3368 DAD P

12 vs.

13 SEAN FITZGERALD, et al.,

14 Defendants.

ORDER

15 \_\_\_\_\_/  
16 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and inform a pauperis with a civil  
17 rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On June 22, 2010, plaintiff filed two documents with  
18 the court entitled “Demand for Inspection and Production of All Documents, Videos and Pictures  
19 from Defendants” and “Requests for Admission from Defendant Richard Strasser.”

20 Plaintiff is informed that court permission is not necessary for the propounding of  
21 discovery requests and that neither discovery requests served on an opposing party nor that  
22 party’s responses should be filed until such time as a party becomes dissatisfied with a response  
23 and seeks relief from the court pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Discovery  
24 requests between the parties shall not be filed with the court unless, and until, they are at issue.

25 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff’s June 22, 2010, demand  
26 for inspection (Doc. No. 26) and requests for admission (Doc. No. 27) will be placed in the court

1 file and disregarded. Plaintiff is cautioned that further filing of discovery requests or responses,  
2 except as required by rule of court, may result in an order of sanctions, including, but not limited  
3 to, a recommendation that this action be dismissed.

4 DATED: June 28, 2010.

5  
6   
7 DALE A. DROZD  
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

9 DAD:sj:kly  
10 alex3368.411(2)  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26