

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARVIN GLENN HOLLIS,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-09-3431 FCD KJN P

vs.

M.S. DOWNING, et al.,

Defendants.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

\_\_\_\_\_/


By an order filed February 10, 2011, plaintiff’s in forma pauperis status was revoked, and plaintiff was ordered to pay the \$350.00 filing fee within twenty-one days. On December 9, 2010, plaintiff was cautioned that failure to pay the filing fee would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The twenty-one day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court’s order and has not paid the filing fee.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s

1 Findings and Recommendations.” Any response to the objections shall be filed and served  
2 within fourteen days after service of the objections. Plaintiff is advised that failure to file  
3 objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order.  
4 Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

5 DATED: March 8, 2011

6  
7   
8 KENDALL J. NEWMAN  
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10 /holl3431.fpf  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26