1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 COREY SPECK, No. 2:09-cv-3440-TLN-EFB P 12 Plaintiff, 13 v. ORDER 14 SHASTA COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff, a former state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action 18 seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate 19 Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. 20 On February 11, 2014, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein 21 which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to 22 the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has filed 23 objections to the findings and recommendations. 24 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this 25 court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the 26 court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper 27 analysis. 28 1

Dockets.Justia.com

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. The findings and recommendations filed February 11, 2014, are adopted in full; 2. This action shall proceed solely on the Fourth claim for relief; and 3. The matter is remanded to the magistrate judge for screening of plaintiff's March 12, 2014 second amended complaint. So ordered. Dated: April 1, 2014 Troy L. Nunley United States District Judge