Dockets.Justia.com

Doc. 36

2.4

complaint but instead returned the service forms for the colorable claims against defendants

Jencks, Douglas and Valentine, the court would construe his election to proceed as a consent to
dismissal of his defective claims.

Plaintiff did not file a second amended complaint. Instead, he submitted service forms for his colorable claims. Accordingly, the undersigned recommends that those claims found not colorable in the September 2, 2010 order be dismissed.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that, for the reasons stated in the September 2, 2010 order, the following claims contained in the amended complaint be dismissed: 1) defendants Jencks, Nurse Lilly, Lisa, Genine, Carolynn and Celly Chan allegedly withheld and obstructed plaintiff's grievances; 2) defendant Jencks allegedly failed to provide plaintiff with a copy of his medical records; 3) defendant Nurse Lilly allegedly prescribed Motrin; 4) during booking, plaintiff allegedly told defendant Tony that he had been in a car accident; and 5) all claims against defendants DeSilva, Owens and Prieto.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within twenty-one days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

DATED: October 7, 2010

KENDALL J. NEWMAN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE