Clarke v. Lindeman, et al Doc. 16

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 100 Wontgomery Street, 23 <sup>rd</sup> Floor 100 Wontgomery Street, 23 <sup>rd</sup> Floor 110 111 12 12 14 15 15 16 17 17 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 | FOR THE EASTERN DI   | DISTRICT COURT STRICT OF CALIFORNIA STRICT OF CALIFORNIA STO DIVISION  Case No. 2:09-CV-03467-JAM-DAD STIPULATION AND ORDER CONTINUING HEARING ON MOTION FOR DISMISSAL OF PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT FILED BY DAVID NICKUM, VALLEY AGGREGATE TRANSPORT, INC., AND ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE FOR THE VALLEY AGGREGATE TRANSPORT, INC., EMPLOYEE STOCK OWNERSHIP PLAN  Date: May 19, 2010 Time: 9:30 a.m. Location: Courtroom 6 Judge: Hon. John A. Mendez |
|---|--|--|
| 25<br>26<br>27<br>28  | Defendants DAVID NICKUM, VALLEY AGGREGATE TRANSPORT, INC. and                                |  |
|   | ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE FOR THE VALLEY AGGREGATE TRANSPORT, INC.,                           |  |
|   | EMPLOYEE STOCK OWNERSHIP PLAN ("Valley Aggregate Defendants") and Plaintiff                  |  |
|   | EDWARD CLARKE ("Plaintiff") (together the "Parties") hereby stipulate, by and through their  |  |
|   | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING HEARING ON MOTION FOR DISMISSAL OF PLAINTIFF'S 1 |  |

COMPLAINT, Case No. 2:09-CV-03467-JAM-DAD

San Francisco, California 94104

respective counsel, to continue the hearing on the Valley Aggregate Defendants' Motion for Dismissal of Plaintiff's Complaint ("Motion to Dismiss"), currently scheduled for May 19, 2010 at 9:30 a.m. Pursuant to this Stipulation, the Parties request an Order setting the date for hearing on the Motion to Dismiss as June 16, 2010 at 9:30 a.m.

The Parties request this continuance for the following reasons:

- 1. The Plaintiff has propounded two sets of Requests for Production of Documents to All Defendants (the "Requests"). The Valley Aggregate Defendants' written responses and objections to those Requests are currently due, by agreement, on April 19, 2010.
- 2. Virginia Perkins, the attorney at Trucker Huss in charge of preparing the written responses and objections to Plaintiff's Requests and in charge of producing documents on behalf of the Valley Aggregate Defendants in response to those Requests, is scheduled for surgery on April 9, 2010 and will be out of the office for that surgery from April 8, 2010 through April 26, 2010. The timing of this surgery was unanticipated.
- 3. The Plaintiff and the Valley Aggregate Defendants have agreed to extend the deadline for the Valley Aggregate Defendants to respond to the Plaintiff's Requests, however, the Plaintiff would like sufficient time after those responses are provided to prepare his Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss.
- 4. In order to give the Plaintiff sufficient time to prepare his Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss after the production of documents pursuant to Plaintiff's Requests, the Parties request a continuance of the hearing on the Motion to Dismiss to June 16, 2010 at 9:30 a.m.

21

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22 //

//

//

//

//

//

//

23

24

25

// 26

27

28

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING HEARING ON MOTION FOR DISMISSAL OF PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT, Case No. 2:09-CV-03467-JAM-DAD

|  | 1   | 5. The Parties have not requested any prior Order extending time in this litigation.  |  |
|--|---|---|--|
| 2<br>3<br>4  | This extension will alter the current date for hearing on the Valley Aggregate Defendants' Motion |   |  |
|  | for Dismissal of Plaintiff's Complaint from May 19, 2010 to June 16, 2010.                        |   |  |
|  | 4   | DATED: April 6, 2010<br>TRUCKER Ö HUSS  |  |
|  | 5   | TRUCKER O HUSS  |  |
|  | 6   | By: <u>/s/R. Bradford Huss</u><br>R. Bradford Huss  |  |
| Trucker & Huss  A Professional Corporation 100 Montgomery Street, 23 <sup>rd</sup> Floor San Francisco, California 94104  57  57  57  57  58  59  50  50  50  50  50  50  50  50  50 | 7   | Attorneys for Defendants  |  |
|  | 8   | David Nickum, Valley Aggregate Transport, Inc.<br>and the Valley Aggregate Transport, Inc.<br>Employee Stock Ownership Plan |  |
|  | 9   |   |  |
|  | 10  | DATED: April 6, 2010<br>LEWIS, FEINBERG, LEE, RENAKER &   |  |
|  | 11  | JACKSON, P.C.   |  |
|  | 104   | By: /s/Daniel Feinberg (as authorized on 4/6/2010)  |  |
|  | rnia 94   | Daniel Feinberg Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class  |  |
|  | Califor   | IT IC CO ORDERED  |  |
|  | ancisco   | IT IS SO ORDERED.   |  |
|  | San Fra   | DATED: April 6, 2010  |  |
|  | 1 /   | /s/ John A. Mendez  |  |
|  | 18  | Honorable John A. Mendez<br>United States District Court Judge  |  |
|  | 19  |   |  |
|  | 20  |   |  |
|  | 21  |   |  |
|  |   |   |  |
|  |   |   |  |
|  | 24  |   |  |
|  | 25  |   |  |
|  | 26  |   |  |
|  | 27  |   |  |
|  | 28  |   |  |

3