(PC) Bardo v	v. Martel et al		
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE	E UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	ROBERT J. BARDO,		
11	Plaintiff,	No. CIV S-09-3479 FCD EFB P	
12	VS.		
13	M. MARTEL, et al.,		
14	Defendants.	<u>ORDER</u>	
15			
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action		
17	seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate		
18	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.		
19	On October 20, 2010, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations		
20	herein which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections		
21	to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has not		
22	filed objections to the findings and recommendations.		
23	The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be		
24	supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY		
25	ORDERED that:		
26	////		
		1	

Doc. 21

1	1. The findings and recommendations filed October 20, 2010, are adopted in full;
2	and
3	2. Plaintiff's claims against all remaining defendants – Green, Chamberlin,
4	Knipp, Martel, Pimental, McCloughan, Machado, Long, Porter, Clendenin, Lackner, Garcia,
5	Chambers, Kaplan, Vanni, Wilson, Phillips, Reyes, Hutchins, Batchelor, Purviance, Luck, Islas,
6	Dobler, Woolbright, Stewart, Thomas and Bueno – are dismissed without prejudice.
7	DATED: December 9, 2010.
8	9/108
9	/lung (mm
10	FRANK C. DAMRELL, JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	