

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
IN AND FOR EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DONNA L. MURRAY
Plaintiff,
vs.

Case No. 09-cv-03480 MCE JFM
STIPULATION RE:
MODIFICATION OF PRETRIAL
SCHEDULING ORDER; ORDER

PRUDENTIAL INSURANCE COMPANY
OF AMERICA
Defendants.

_____/

The court, having reviewed the STIPULATION EXTENDING DATES FOR CLOSE OF DISCOVERY AND DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESSES submitted by the parties on April 11, 2011, HEREBY finds as follows:


Although a stipulation by the parties, without more, may not constitute “good cause” to modify a Pretrial Scheduling Order pursuant to Rule 16(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the court finds that the parties herein have shown “good cause” herein on the grounds that:

- A) they have properly and diligently participated in pretrial discovery;
- B) they have engaged in settlement discussions; and
- C) they desire additional time to engage in an alternate dispute resolution process which may resolve the matter without trial.

1 Although the Court notes that the parties have suggested retaining the currently
2 scheduled Final Pretrial Conference and trial dates, the Court finds the extensions
3 being proposed impinge too closely on the February 12, 2012 trial date now set in this
4 matter. Accordingly, the Court continues that trial date to April 2, 2012. An amended
5 Pretrial Scheduling Order will be issued setting forth other applicable deadlines in
6 conjunction with that new trial date.

7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8 Dated: April 19, 2011

9
10 
11 MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28