

1 Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint alleges only state law causes
2 of action. Plaintiffs have also filed a Statement of Non-
3 Opposition in which they do not oppose dismissal of their Amended
4 Complaint for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.


5 With only Plaintiffs' state law claims remaining, this Court
6 ceases to have subject matter jurisdiction over the suit. The
7 Court declines to exercise its supplemental jurisdiction over the
8 remaining state causes of action and they are dismissed without
9 prejudice. The Court need not address the merits of Defendant's
10 Motion to Dismiss (Docket No. 20) as those issues are now moot.
11 Defendant's Motion to Strike (Docket No. 21) is also moot.¹

12 Plaintiffs are cautioned against filing complaints in this
13 Court and then dismissing the federal claims as soon as a Motion
14 to Dismiss is filed.

15 For the reasons stated above, the case is dismissed.
16 The Clerk is directed to close the file.

17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18 Dated: April 26, 2010

19
20 
21 MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.
22 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
23
24
25
26

27 ¹ Because oral argument will not be of material assistance,
28 the Court deemed this matter suitable for decision without oral
argument. E.D. Cal. Local Rule 230 (g).