

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 DANIEL PINA,

11 Petitioner,

No. CIV S-09-3523 MCE GGH P

12 vs.

13 FRANCISCO JACQUEZ,

14 Respondent.

ORDER

15 _____/
16 By Order, filed on August 2, 2010, petitioner's request for a further extension of
17 time to file his opposition to respondent's motion to dismiss was denied without prejudice to his
18 filing his opposition and showing good cause for the delay within fourteen days. Petitioner's
19 filing of August 16, 2010, is sufficient to show good cause for the delay, and the July 28, 2010,
20 opposition filed by petitioner is deemed timely filed. Respondent has previously been granted
21 until September 11, 2010, to file a reply to petitioner's opposition, and that deadline remains in
22 effect.

23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 DATED: August 25, 2010

/s/ Gregory G. Hollows

25 _____
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

26 GGH:009
pina3523.ord2