1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	IGOR CHEPEL; ROOF VERA CHEPEL, as guardian ad litem for minors, ERICK
11	CHEPEL, JASON CHEPEL, and ASHLEY CHEPEL,
12	Plaintiffs, No. 2:09-cv-03548 JAM KJN PS
13	V.
14	THE LAW OFFICE OF FREDERICK
15	S. COHEN; FREDERICK S. COHEN, individually; MARY ROSS;
16	DR. LARRY NICHOLAS; and DOES 1 through 20,
17	Defendants. ORDER
18	/
19	
20	On August 30, 2010, plaintiff Igor Chepel ("Mr. Chepel"), who is the only
21	remaining plaintiff in this action, filed a "Notice of Dismissal Without Prejudice," seeking
22	dismissal of this action without prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41. (Dkt.
23	No. 14.) Although Mr. Chepel did not specify a particular subsection of Rule 41 under which the
24	notice of dismissal was filed, the court construes the notice as being filed pursuant to Federal
25	Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(i) because the opposing parties have not yet filed answers or
26	motions for summary judgment. As a result, no court order is required to effectuate a voluntary
	1

1

1	dismissal without prejudice. However, the court enters this order for the sake of clarity and to
2	vacate the hearing date related to a special motion to strike filed by some of the defendants,
3	which is set for a hearing before the undersigned on September 16, 2010.
4	Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:
5	1. This action is dismissed without prejudice, and the Clerk of Court is
6	directed to close this case.
7	2. All pending dates in this action are vacated.
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.
9	DATED: September 8, 2010
10	
11	
12	Ferdall & Newman
13	KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
19 20	
20 21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2

I