2

1

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

2425

26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IGOR CHEPEL; ROOF VERA CHEPEL, as guardian ad litem for minors, ERICK CHEPEL, JASON CHEPEL, and ASHLEY CHEPEL,

Plaintiffs,

v.

No. 2:09-cv-03548 JAM KJN PS

ORDER

THE LAW OFFICE OF FREDERICK S. COHEN; FREDERICK S. COHEN, individually; MARY ROSS; DR. LARRY NICHOLAS; and DOES 1 through 20,

Defendants.

complaint on December 23, 2009. (Dkt. No. 1.) The undersigned screened the complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e) and determined that service of the complaint on all defendants would be appropriate once the United States District Judge assigned to this matter acted on certain proposed findings and recommendations. (See Dkt. No. 4.) In an order entered April 28, 2010, United States District Judge John A. Mendez adopted the undersigned's proposed findings

Plaintiffs, who are proceeding without counsel and in forma pauperis, filed their

and recommendations and dismissed plaintiffs' fifth claim for relief, which alleged a claim of

defamation, with prejudice. (See Dkt. Nos. 4, 6.) Judge Mendez subsequently adopted the undersigned's additional proposed findings and recommendations and dismissed from this action without prejudice plaintiffs Erick Chepel, Jason Chepel, Ashley Chepel, and Roof Vera Chepel. (See Dkt. Nos. 5, 7.) In accordance with Judge Mendez's adoption of the proposed findings and recommendations, the undersigned will order service of the complaint, as modified.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- Service of plaintiff's complaint is appropriate as to the following defendants: The Law Offices of Frederick S. Cohen; Frederick S. Cohen, an individual; Mary Ross; and Dr. Larry Nicholas.
- 2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to issue forthwith all process pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4.
- 3. The Clerk of Court shall send plaintiff four USM-285 forms, one summons, an endorsed copy of plaintiff's complaint filed December 23, 2009 (Dkt. No. 1), this court's scheduling order, and the forms providing notice of the magistrate judge's availability to exercise jurisdiction for all purposes.
 - 4. Plaintiff is advised that to effectuate service, the U.S. Marshal will require:
 - a. One completed summons;
 - b. One completed USM-285 form for each defendant to be served;
- c. A copy of the complaint for each defendant to be served, with an extra copy for the U.S. Marshal; and
- d. A copy of this court's scheduling order and related documents for each defendant to be served; and
- 5. Plaintiff shall supply the United States Marshal, within 30 days from the date this order is filed, all information needed by the Marshal to effectuate service of process, and shall, within 10 days thereafter, file a statement with the court that such documents have been submitted to the United States Marshal.

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
,	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5

26

- 6. The U.S. Marshal shall serve process, with copies of this court's scheduling order and related documents, within 90 days of receipt of the required information from plaintiff, without prepayment of costs. *The United States Marshal shall, within 10 days thereafter, file a statement with the court that such documents have been served.* If the U.S. Marshal is unable, for any reason, to effect service of process on any defendant, the Marshal shall promptly report that fact, and the reasons for it, to the undersigned.
- 7. The Clerk of Court shall serve a copy of this order on the United States Marshal, 501 "I" Street, Sacramento, CA 95814 (tel. 916-930-2030).
- 8. Plaintiff's failure to comply with this order may result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: May 21, 2010

KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE