

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 KEITH LOLLIS,

11 Petitioner,

No. CIV S-09-3558 MCE GGH P

12 vs.

13 J.W. HAVILAND, et al.,

14 Respondents.

ORDER

15 _____/
16 On November 17, 2010, an order was served on petitioner's address of record and
17 returned by the postal service. It appears that petitioner has failed to comply with Local Rule
18 183(b), which requires that a party appearing in propria persona inform the court of any address
19 change or face dismissal after 63 days.

20 A search by the court indicates that petitioner may have been transferred to the
21 California Medical Facility. However, it is not clear if this is a permanent or a temporary move
22 and it is petitioner's burden to inform the court of an address change.

23 In order for this case to proceed in a timely manner, petitioner shall inform the
24 court of his new address. The Clerk of the Court shall serve this order to petitioner's address of
25 record and to California Medical Facility.

26 \\\

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. Petitioner shall inform the court of his current address;

2. The Clerk of the Court shall serve this order on the address of record and to
petitioner at California Medical Facility.

DATED: November 23, 2010

/s/ Gregory G. Hollows

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

GGH: AB
lol13558.address