

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KEITH LOLLIS,

Petitioner,

No. CIV S-09-3558 GGH P

vs.

J.W. HAVILAND, et al.,

Respondents.

ORDER

_____ /

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner has paid the filing fee. Petitioner has also filed a motion to proceed in forma pauperis. Accordingly, the motion to proceed in forma pauperis is denied as unnecessary.

Since petitioner may be entitled to the requested relief if the claimed violation of constitutional rights is proved, respondents will be directed to file a response to petitioner’s application.

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Petitioner’s motion to proceed in forma pauperis (no. 2) is denied as unnecessary;

////

