(HC) Salazar v. Cate		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	JOSE ESCOBEDO SALAZAR,	
11	Petitioner,	No. CIV S-09-3585 LKK GGH P
12	vs.	
13	MATTHEW CATE, et al.,	
14	Respondents.	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
15	/	
16	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with an application for writ of	
17	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. On May 27, 2010, respondent filed a motion to	
18	dismiss. Petitioner has not filed an opposition to the motion. Local Rule 230(1) provides in part:	
19	"Failure of the responding party to file written opposition or to file a statement of no opposition	
20	may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion " Good cause	
21	appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner show cause, within fourteen days, why	
22	respondent's May 27, 2010, motion to dismiss should not be granted.	
23	DATED: August 3, 2010	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows
24		
25	GGH:035	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26	sala3585.46hab	
		1

Doc. 15