(HC) Salazar	v. Cate	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	JOSE ESCOBEDO SALAZAR,	
11	Petitioner,	No. CIV S-09-3585 LKK GGH P
12	VS.	
13	MATTHEW CATE, et al.,	
14	Respondents.	<u>ORDER</u>
15		/
16	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with an application for writ of	
17	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. On August 4, 2010, this court ordered petitioner to	
18	show cause why respondent's motion to dismiss should not be granted. On August 20, 2010,	
19	petitioner declared in his response that he never received respondent's motion to dismiss. Based	
20	on petitioner's declaration, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:	
21	1. The order to show cause is discharged;	
22	2. Petitioner has 2	8 days from the service of this order to respond to the motion to
23	dismiss; and	
24	\\\\\	
25	\\\\\	
26	\\\\\	

Doc. 17

3. The Clerk of Court is directed to send petitioner a copy of Doc. No. 14 enclosed with this order. DATED: September 2, 2010 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE GGH:0014 sala3585.ord