Ш

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	MIKA ALFARO,
11	Plaintiff,
12	vs. CIV S-09-3595 FCD KJM PS
13	LETICIA MARIN,
14	Defendant. ORDER AND
15	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
16	/
17	Plaintiff has not paid the fee ordinarily required to file an action in this court, and
18	previously filed an incomplete application to proceed without prepayment of fees. See 28 U.S.C.
19	§§ 1914(a), 1915(a). Plaintiff was directed to file a complete application and failed to timely do
20	so. Findings and recommendations were filed recommending dismissal of the action due to
21	plaintiff's failure to comply with the court's order. Plaintiff has now filed another application.
22	The findings and recommendations will therefore be vacated.
23	Plaintiff states she receives rents, pensions or life insurance payments, disability
24	payments, and gifts or inheritances but still does not state the amounts. Plaintiff does indicate,
25	however, that she has \$598,000.00 in checking and savings accounts.
26	/////
	1

Pursuant to federal statute, a filing fee of \$350.00 is required to commence a civil 1 2 action in federal district court. 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a). The court may authorize the 3 commencement of an action "without prepayment of fees and costs or security therefor, by a 4 person who makes affidavit that he is unable to pay such costs or give security therefor." 28 5 U.S.C. § 1915(a). The amount of plaintiff's assets shows that plaintiff is able to pay the filing fee and costs. Thus, plaintiff has made an inadequate showing of indigency. See Alexander v. 6 7 Carson Adult High Sch., 9 F.3d 1448 (9th Cir. 1993); California Men's Colony v. Rowland, 939 F.2d 854, 858 (9th Cir. 1991); Stehouwer v. Hennessey, 841 F. Supp. 316, (N.D. Cal. 1994). 8 9 Plaintiff will therefore be granted twenty-one days in which to submit the appropriate filing fee 10 to the Clerk of the Court. Plaintiff is cautioned that failure to pay the fee will result in a 11 recommendation that the application to proceed in forma pauperis be denied and the instant action be dismissed without prejudice. 12

In the complaint filed December 29, 2009, and in an affidavit filed March 17,
2010, plaintiff complains of harassment by an individual. There is no basis evident in any of
plaintiff's pleadings for subject matter jurisdiction. The federal courts are courts of limited
jurisdiction. In the absence of some basis for federal jurisdiction, this action cannot proceed in
federal court. Plaintiff will therefore be given an opportunity to explain the basis for subject
matter jurisdiction.

19

20

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The findings and recommendations filed February 23, 2010 are vacated.

21 2. Within twenty-one days from the date of this order, plaintiff shall submit the22 appropriate filing fee.

23 3. Within twenty-one days from the date of this order, plaintiff shall show cause
24 why this action should not be dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

25 DATED: April 2, 2010.

26 006/alfaro-marin.den.osc